

EXHIBIT 6

(b)(6)

From: Thursday, June 27, 2002 6:03 PM
 To:
 Cc:
 Subject: RE: CARE OF USCIT DETAINEE

Thank you I'll make it so Sir.
 V/R,

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2002 5:38 PM
 To:
 Cc:
 Subject: RE: CARE OF USCIT DETAINEE

on Hamdi and the playing cards. He can have his deck. Hope this helps. I realize it's little enough, but it's the best we can do right now. The answer on the game boy is NO for now. I am working with the CTF 160 guys and JFCOM to get a coherent policy. No movement on mail.

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2002 4:15 PM
 To:
 Subject: RE: CARE OF USCIT DETAINEE

Sorry for the delayed response, as it has been one of those non-stop days. Thank you Sir for the follow-up, hope you understand I am trying to keep this individual in the box and lessen the potential for any foolish action on his part (I am speaking of the potential for a hunger strike). This came up at X-Ray and drew all kinds of media attention and this individual made mention of his intentions to do the same thing here, in time. As you know, we control the media here at my facility, but if the medical folks get involved with something of a hunger strike nature, don't know for certain we could control what got outside the wire. Trying to stay ahead of as many potential problems as possible, but sometimes I feel like I'm in a mine field. By the way Sir, per Webster's Vol. II, Penuckle.

V/R,

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2002 5:57 PM
 To: F
 Cc:
 Subject: RE: CARE OF USCIT DETAINEE

answers to your questions: the two letters are still at CTF 160/170 for reevaluation. We engaged on Monday and will engage again tomorrow. I will answer your request to give a pinocle (how the heck do you spell that?) deck to Hamdi tomorrow before noon. As for the unopened legal correspondence, the joint staff and DOD GC/DEPSECDEF still have that for action - continue to accumulate. No one thinks these are small issues - quite the contrary - which is why we are seeing the very highest levels of Government considering the answers.

Also pending are Hamdi's letters to his family. I will ping CTF 160 again on that issue tomorrow. I have the CINC's decisions, pending CTF 160 review.

-----Original Message-----

From:
 Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2002 4:28 PM
 To:
 Cc:
 Subject: CARE OF USCIT DETAINEE

Good evening, I saw the detainee this morning during regular rounds. He is going through another depression stage, as he is continuing to question how much long he going to be here and why he has not been afforded to meet with a lawyer. He questions why when requests are made, it takes so long for a

From: [redacted]
 Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2002 2:05 PM
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: RE: Detainee issues, 15 April report

Yes Sir [redacted] had planned to discuss with [redacted] during his next visit. [redacted] email was quite clear to me, without the need for additional background. Per previous discussions between [redacted] and I, he fully understands his roll and understands we are in no position to make promises and he conveys that to the detainee. However, since the detainee elects to make some of his requests via [redacted] feels compelled to bring the issues forward, and nothing more. WRT to ICRC visits, the only discussion on this topic was a query for information from [redacted] last Friday evening. She was interested in my concerns from a security aspect, space availability and how I would entertain such visits. Passed along to her our space limitations, with a work around and the need for clearance information/verification and coordination of visits. No visits are planned, but anticipated in the future. I will keep you informed as I get wind of any planned visits, ICRC or otherwise.

V/R,

-----Original Message-----
 From: [redacted]
 Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2002 11:02 AM
 To: [redacted]
 Subject: RE: Detainee Issues, 15 April report

The next time [redacted] comes over to the Brig, have a short chat with him before he meets with the detainee. Make sure [redacted] understands that his primary role is spiritual counseling. While we certainly do want to pass on to us any requests that the detainee might make [redacted] should not be making any promises or suggestions we can't support.

As I'm sure you understand, DOD does not want this detainee to have any priveleges that the detainees at Camp X-Ray don't have, so all are treated essentially the same. Some of the things the detainee requested may not be a problem from our perspective, but have the effect of granting him privileges that the other detainees don't have.

Have you heard anything about if/when ICRC is going to check on this detainee?

R [redacted]
 -----Original Message-----
 From: [redacted]
 Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2002 8:53 AM
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: RE: Detainee Issues, 15 April report

Thanks [redacted] no additional background required on my part. I will ensure the information is fed back to the Chaplain and detainee. As follow-on and for info, the detainees two letters have been forwarded to the Camp X-Ray address provided, as of this morning.

V/R,

-----Original Message-----
 From: [redacted]
 Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2002 5:56 PM
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: Detainee issues, 15 April report

Feedback on the three issues (soccer ball, checkers, family call). This has been coordinated as I discussed with [redacted] on the phone a few minutes ago.

* Soccer ball. We want to stay identical with JTF-160. At JTF-160, detainees have their hands shackled and their feet free, and they are permitted to exercise in a fenced area by themselves, for 15-20 minutes, twice a week. There are no soccer balls.

* Checkers. ICRC has endorsed concept of single-person games, which this is not. Second, this is beyond the chaplain's role. He is there to provide spiritual advice, not to become a buddy and provide recreation.

* Call to the family. Bad idea to set U.S. Navy personnel up as liaisons to Al Qaeda family members.

Can provide more background if you want. Great call by [redacted] to raise the issues, and for you [redacted] to report them as you did. Thanks!

R()

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

—Original Message—

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2002 6:45 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Subject: CARE OF USCIT
Importance: High

Good evening, [redacted] spoke with the detainee today as part of my rounds. He made no special requests. I advised him we were still working the mail issue and expected to have him some info later this week. I have not advised him I have received the two letters. As feed back to my questions to him, he has indicated the staff was taking care of his needs, treating him well, his meals were fine and that the medical and the chaplain services were most appreciated. Although he made no requests to me, I was visited by [redacted] his evening with the following:

(b)(6)

- The detainee was inquiring of [redacted] about his legal status and any idea how long he was going to be detained here. I informed [redacted] had no information concerning the detainees legal future, as I have not received any additional information. I advised the chaplain of today's C-SPANN interview with Secretary Rumsfeld on this topic and per the Secretary's remarks to reporters, the detainees legal status was still being reviewed by the legal analyst and that no decisions had been made.

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

- The detainee has requested the use of a soccer ball during his recreation time. A review of the Camp X-Ray SOP does not provide any guidance in this area. Personally, since the recreation area the detainee has access to is secured, he is under two man guard force supervision and cuffed during recreation call, I feel comfortable with accommodating the request, unless directed otherwise.

[redacted] has requested to bring in a checker board game during his visit with the detainee and to be responsible for taking it out of the block with him, when he departs. Again, not covered in the Camp X-Ray SOP. Told [redacted] I would inquire and get back with him. Personally, don't view a checker board and checkers as a problem since my guard force will ensure that all pieces are accounted for when introduced and exiting the block, with [redacted]

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

- [redacted] has asked if he may be permitted to call the detainees family to let them know how the detainee is doing, on his behalf. I see this as a Health and Comfort matter the ICRC should be handling, but told [redacted] I would inquire.

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

V/R,

[redacted]

(b)(6)

From: [(b)(6)]
 Sent: Saturday, April 20, 2002 12:31 PM
 To: [(b)(6)]
 Cc: [(b)(6)]
 Subject: WEEKLY UPDATE ON THE CARE OF AMCIT DETAINEE

Good morning Sir, the following weekly summary is provided. All privileges mentioned in NAVBRIG Norfolk message DTG 061400Z APR 02 remain in affect. The detainee abides by the rules and regulations and as of yet, has not presented any disciplinary problems for my staff. I continue to see the detainee on a daily basis and he receives frequent visits by [(b)(6)] and my IDC, when required. He is becoming more accustomed to the facilities and my staff and is becoming more talkative as time passes. He remains in Maximum Custody, isolated from the general population and under two guard control (one E-7 and one E-6) at all times. The only time he is permitted out of his cell is during shower and recreation calls. Specific issues addressed this week and actions taken include:

- Monday 15 April 02, detainee requested via [(b)(6)] information concerning legal status and how long he was going to be detained here. Detainee advised that we have received no additional information concerning his legal status or length of stay. The detainee requested the use of a soccer ball during recreation call. Passed up the COC for consideration, disapproved 16 April 02 as not IAW JTF-160 SOP for Camp X-Ray. Detainee advised, [(b)(6)] requested permission to bring in a checker board during his visits and be responsible for removing it from the block at his departure. Passed up the COC for consideration, disapproved 16 April 02 as not IAW JTF-160 SOP for Camp X-Ray. Detainee and [(b)(6)] advised. [(b)(6)] asked if he may be permitted to contact the detainees family on his behalf, Health/Comfort. Passed up the COC for consideration, disapproved as bad precedence to set by having U.S. Navy personnel liaison with Al Qaeda family members. Detainee [(b)(6)] advised the calls were not authorized.

- Tuesday 16 April 02, received direction concerning mail routing procedures for the detainee and the two letters this facility received, 12 April 02. CLF decision is to have mail forwarded to the established JTF-160 address of 160 Camp X-Ray, Washington DC 20355. Both letters received were forwarded to this address on 17 April 02, with the out going mail.

- Wednesday 17 April 02, [(b)(6)] the assigned doctor visited the detainee as follow-up to [(b)(6)]

[(b)(6)] letter from [(b)(6)] Received a facsimile [(b)(6)] to indicate his office was responsible for representation of indigent defendants in the Federal Courts in the Eastern District and was aware that the detainee was located at my facility. He was interested in determining weather the detainee seeks to have counsel appointed and requested that I contact his office immediately. I made no calls to the inquiry and forwarded the letter to CLF for info and direction. The detainee requested via [(b)(6)] Fast for (20) days in observance of Ramadan 2001 to meet his religious obligations, as this time was lost during his capture. Forwarded the request to CLF for consideration and approval.

- Thursday 18 April 02, informed by CLF the facsimile received from the Federal Public Defenders office on 17 April 02 had been forwarded to JFCOM and to not communicate with this office or take any action on the correspondence.

- Friday 19 April 02, received approval from CLF to permit the detainee to Fast for (20) days in observation of Ramadan 2001 to account for that time lost during capture and processing for detention. Will permit the detainee to commence his (20) observation period between sunrise 22 April 02 and sunset 11 May 02. My staff can support without disruption to our normal routine. Received the letter correspondence to back up the facsimile from the Federal Public Defenders office of 17 April 02. Informed CLF and was directed to hold the letter as they would in all likelihood be answering the correspondence with something as simple as contact the Dept of Defense General Counsel (once the final decision is made). During daily rounds the detainee asked again, if I had any idea about how long he may be here and again, I have told him that I had not received any new information and that to my knowledge his case was moving through the judicial process. My future response to such inquires until otherwise directed will be, "I don't know, sorry".

In summary, the detainee has adapted to his new surroundings and staff. I receive no complaints from him, just inquires. Would like to pass along my appreciation for all the support received to date. Specifically, would like to recognize the tremendous effort by NAVSTA Security. Perimeter defense remains in place and affective, the control point guards remain vigilant, have smooth out the few wrinkles with access control by providing access lists and a radio for communications between by central control station and the watch. Not a single unauthorized visitor has gained access to my front door. thanks to the Security efforts in place. One side note for info, I have been informed that [(b)(6)] CNP, PERS-84 will be visiting the command AM, 30 April 02. My staff and I will be standing by for the visit.

V/R,

[(b)(6)]

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:

Thursdav. April 25, 2002 7:01 AM

Subject:

RE: CARE OF USCIT

Yes Sir

V/R,

I had planned to.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

wednesday. April 24, 2002 6:11 PM

RE: CARE OF USCIT

concur. Would recommend you observe trimming. Thanks, R

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Wednesday, April 24, 2002 5:00 PM

CARE OF USCIT

Good evening [] saw the detainee during my rounds earlier today. Only query concerned Health and Comfort issues. He requested a finger nail clipper, shave cream, razor, shampoo, writing pad, pen, socks and tennis shoes. Told him I would be back with him tomorrow. Per the Camp X-Ray SOP, their medical staff handled hair cuts/beard trimming, I intend to use my staff barber and perform the trimming in the A-Block area (I'll bring the barber to him), therefore no need to move him from the Block and no need for a razor or shave cream. The detainee will be in the required restraints during the trimming (legs and hands). Nail clippers were authorized, with the Block guard providing the clippers, watching the detainee, then retrieving the clippers. Writing materials were authorized for writing (2 letters and 4 post cards per month). Shampoo is a standard H & C item, I can provide. As for tennis shoes and socks, they were not issued at Champ X-Ray, therefore I do not intend to issue here, unless otherwise directed. Other than that, he remains in good sprits, good health and observing Ramadan.

V/R,

[] (b)(6)

[(b)(6)]

From: [(b)(6)]
 Sent: Friday, April 26, 2002 4:47 PM
 To: [(b)(6)]
 Cc: [(b)(6)]
 Subject: RE: WEEKLY UPDATE ON THE CARE OF AMCIT DETAINEE

[] thanks for your help this week! R [(b)(6)]

—Original Message—

From: [(b)(6)]
 Sent: Friday, April 26, 2002 3:49 PM
 To: [(b)(6)]
 Cc: [(b)(6)]
 Subject: WEEKLY UPDATE ON THE CARE OF AMCIT DETAINEE

Good evening [(b)(6)] the following weekly summary is provided. All privileges mentioned in NAVBRIG Norfolk message DTG 061400Z APR 02 continue to be observed as the detainee remains compliant with the Brig's established rules and regulations. I continue to see the detainee on a daily basis as part of my routine rounds. He is alert and in good spirits. He continues to have frequent visits by the Chaplain and is seen regularly by the assigned doctor. He remains in Maximum Custody, isolated from the general population and under two guard control (one E-7 and one E-6) at all times. The only time he is permitted out of his cell is during shower and recreation calls. Specific issues this week and actions taken include:

- Monday 22 April 02, detainee's request to FAST in observation of the (20) days lost during Ramadan 2001 due to his capture and processing to Camp X-Ray, commenced this morning, prior to sunrise. CLF approval received for this request and will run through sunset Saturday, 11 May 02. Drafted and forwarded to CLF [(b)(6)] a proposed response from me to the Federal Public Defenders office, as directed by [(b)(6)]

- Tuesday 23 April 02, [(b)(6)] concurred with the proposed response to the Federal Public Defenders office submitted 22 April 01. Letter smoothed, signed, copy filed and placed in this mornings out going mail.

- Wednesday, 24 April 02, received a call this morning from [(b)(6)] of Defense Human Services, Defense Intelligence Agency. He indicated his department was responsible for visiting the detainees and was inquiring about the procedures to visit our detainee here at the Brig. He went on to say he worked the visits to Camp X-Ray via SOUTHCOM. I informed him that any such visits here would be cleared via CLF. I provided him with [(b)(6)] and [(b)(6)] phone numbers. CLF coordinated with JFCOM and SOUTHCOM, and verified bona fides of [(b)(6)] I have been provided with a POC [(b)(6)] locally based and phone number [(b)(6)] and advised he will be contacting me to make arrangements for the visit. To date [(b)(6)] [(b)(6)] has not contacted me or my staff. Saw the detainee during my rounds earlier today. Only query concerned Health and Comfort issues. He requested a finger nail clipper, shave cream, razor, shampoo, writing pad, pen, socks and tennis shoes. Told him I would be back with him tomorrow. Per the Camp X-Ray SOP, their medical staff handled hair cuts/beard trimming, I intend to use my staff barber and perform the trimming in the A-Block area (I'll bring the barber to him), therefore no need to move him from the Block and no need for a razor or shave cream. The detainee will be in the required restraints during the trimming (legs and hands). Nail clippers were authorized, with the Block guard providing the clippers, watching the detainee, then retrieving the clippers. Writing materials were authorized for writing (2 letters and 4 post cards per month). Shampoo is a standard H & C item, I can provide. As for tennis shoes and socks, they were not issued at Camp X-Ray, therefore I do not intend to issue here, unless otherwise directed. Other than that, he remains in good spirits, good health and observing Ramadan. Received authorization from CLF for the above items with the following exceptions, no razor, no shave cream, no tennis shoes and no socks. I briefed the detainee concerning his request for H&C items during my regular rounds, 25 April 02 and informed him that the Barber was scheduled for hair cut and beard trimming in the Block, AM Monday morning 29 April 02. I will be present for the event.

- Thursday 25 April 02, received a call this morning from [(b)(6)] from the ICRC. She indicated her agency was responsible for visiting the detainees at Camp X-Ray and was inquiring who she needed to coordinate a visit with, to see our detainee. I informed her all visits with my detainee were coordinated through CLF, your office and that once cleared, I would be the local POC for coordinating and overseeing the visit. Provided her with [(b)(6)] number. CLF passed to JFCOM/JS who verified [(b)(6)]

[(b)(6)] to contact [(b)(6)] 26 April 02, to discuss coordination/planning. It appears the visit is not being planned to actually occur for another couple of weeks. Detainee was seen this morning by the assigned doctor and [(b)(6)] indicated the detainee was doing fine with no medical conditions to report and the Chaplain reported the detainee had no complaints.

- Friday 26 April 02, detainee provided all approved H&C items requested 24 April 02. Detainee forwarded one

post card for mailing to his family in Saudi Arabia. Post Card was verified to have the correct return address, per previously provided mailing instructions. The post card was placed in a enveloped, with my return address and addressed to the Mail Coordinator, 160 Camp X-Ray, Washington DC 20355 for scanning, processing and forwarding. For info, to date the detainee has received no mail other than the two letters received 12 April 02, which were mailed directly to this command and forwarded to the Camp X-Ray for scanning, 17 April 02.

Perimeter defense remains in place and affective and as reported last week, we have not had an unauthorized visitor gain access to the facility. Nothing else to report.

[V/R,] (b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
 Sent: Monday, June 17, 2002 5:40 PM (b)(6)
 To: [redacted]
 Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP FROM MEETING WITH CINC/DCINC OF 14 JUNE 02

Thanks [redacted] during the meeting no one spoke of how this was going to be pulled off, just that CINC wanted to have it happen. I have not heard anything today on this subject. I suspect the [redacted] will give me a call as soon as the matter is broached with him. (b)(6)

VIR,

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
 Sent: Monday, June 17, 2002 4:37 PM (b)(6)
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP FROM MEETING WITH CINC/DCINC OF 14 JUNE 02

Re: Muslim Chaplain. I'll pass CINC's direction to COMCARAIRWING EIGHT and up the chain of command to CLF chaplain. You are correct on coming to NAVSTA (though I must confess I've been expecting word any day now that he'll be held in GTMO since is available in this region). R (b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
 Sent: Monday, June 17, 2002 4:19 PM (b)(6)
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: FOLLOW-UP FROM MEETING WITH CINC/DCINC OF 14 JUNE 02

Good afternoon [redacted] as follow-up to the meeting with CINC and DCINC discussions centered primarily around bringing [redacted] into the fold, addressing the CINC/DCINC's desires to be the clearing house for all "Other Detainee" access, chain of command for issues, manning issues, FP issues, reporting requirements, chaplain duties and directions to both CO's. The following was discussed. (b)(6)

-- Clearing house for issues, CLF Legal staff continues to be the POC for all matters pertaining to both "Other Detainees". Nothing new for us, business as usual. In the case of Charleston (since they are owned/operated by PERS 84) CINC indicated PERS 84 was in the loop and concurred with the fact the COC would now include CLF for all OD matters. CINC/DCINC made it clear, all access to the OD's will be cleared by one of them, personally. CINC provided [redacted] a letter similar to the one he provided me a couple of weeks back to indicate that the CJCS has directed the detainees are not permitted visits from legal counsel, family members or others unless specified by SECDEF or his designee. Unless we receive direction from CINC or DCINC, we are not to permit access. (b)(6)

-- Manning issues, [redacted] indicated she could operate for about one month without serious impact to manning, with readjustments to her facilities watch bill. She quoted some figures for personnel requirements and associated cost. I briefed we had completed a ER/FAR conducted by CLF CMAT and that their findings drive a requirement for (12) additional guard force personnel. I also mentioned that funding the billets would normally come from CNRMA and that there inlaid a problem with regard to other installation priorities and where another facility could take a cut. I expressed, I had little confidence this would occur. I reminded them as mentioned from the start, I could operate until this fall without serious impact due my 104% BA (made up of LIMDU and Non-Sea-Duty screen personnel). After that, I would need help. I also addressed the perimeter defense established by NAVSTA Security and that with the demobilization process these assets would be coming solely from and already short-handed force. I estimated between 36-42 personnel are committed to this function daily and that I believed NAVSTA CO and his Security Officer were working this particular manning issue. DCINC indicated that he would take on the manning issues for both facilities and work the problems with CLF N1. I intend to email to [redacted] a copy of the FAR results conducted by CLF CMAT, such that he can pass to DCINC for discussions with CLF N1. (b)(6)

-- Reporting requirements, CINC/DCINC were both pleased with the process we currently have in place. Daily and Weekly updates to CLF Legal, with SETREP when required. They in turn pull from these inputs and forward updates to JFCOM, etc. They expect Charleston to follow suit.

-- Chaplain requirements, CINC wanted to know how often Charleston was planning to have a Muslim Chaplain visit, she indicated once a month. CINC wants the visits to occur once a week. He asked how many Muslim Chaplains were in the Navy, I told him there were three, where they were located, when they were due to transfer and that [redacted] was already on loan to us. CINC indicated he wanted [redacted] assigned to the Norfolk Brig, such that he could be TAD to cover the Charleston weekly visit requirement. Not sure how this will (b)(6)

work out. Also advised CINC that it was my understanding the Muslim Chaplain was scheduled to transfer and report to NAVSTA Norfolk sometime later this year.

-- Perimeter Defense, CINC was satisfied with what is in place here at Norfolk, but was not happy with the Charleston plan. Gave direction to the Brig CO on how he envisioned the perimeter should be set up.

-- Security Improvements inside the facility, CINC wants a CCTV system installed in my Alpha Block. I will have my Director of Corrections gather information and sources, have a estimate conducted and move forward. Funding or a lack there of will be the biggest issue to overcome, as there are any number of companies and systems available on the market, some local. The system would help, should additional detainees be sent to our facility. CINC would not speculate as to rather additional detainees are coming in the future.

-- CLF Legal, briefed CINC/DCINC of the current legal status and issues surrounding both detainees.

In closing, both CINC/DCINC were complementary on the efforts put forth to date by all concerned, to make accommodations in support of the new missions. As you can imagine most of this was a rehash, of what we are already doing and designed primarily to get Charleston up to speed. As mentioned above, I have a couple of taskers (forward the CLF CMAT results justifying the 12 member guard force increase and look into installing a CCTV monitoring system for Alpha Block). Will keep you apprised of our efforts and cc you on what we forward.

[V/R, ...] (b)(6)

(b)(6)

From: [redacted]
 Sent: Monday, June 24, 2002 10:05 AM
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: RE: WEEKLY UPDATE ON THE CARE OF DETAINEE USCIT

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

Ok [redacted] have my folks scan the letter into a file and send for review and forwarding. For info, I recently purchased the correct equipment to scan such items. Will get it to you this morning. For [redacted] take for action and forward to me upon completion.

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
 Sent: Monday, June 24, 2002 9:06 AM
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: RE: WEEKLY UPDATE ON THE CARE OF DETAINEE USCIT

(b)(6)

please either fax or have someone carry over to us a photocopy of the letter referred to below. This mail does need to be screened before it is mailed. We will scan it in and e-mail it to the right folks for screening.

r/

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted]
 Sent: Friday, June 21, 2002 5:07 PM
 To: [redacted]
 Cc: [redacted]
 Subject: WEEKLY UPDATE ON THE CARE OF DETAINEE USCIT

(b)(6)

Good evening [redacted] the following weekly update is provided. All privileges in NAVBRIG Norfolk message 061400Z APR 02 continue to be observed, as our detainee remains compliant with the Brigs established rules and regulations. I continue to see him on an almost daily basis during regular rounds. In my absence he is seen by the CDO. He continues to be seen by [redacted] both of which continue to keep me informed of their visits and observations. The detainee remains in a Maximum Custody status, isolated from the general population and under two guard control (one E-7 and one E-6) at all times. The only time the detainee is permitted out of his cell is shower and recreation calls (combined 1 hour total each day) and when out of his cell during these times, prescribed restraints are applied. Specific events/issues and actions taken this week include:

-- Monday 17 June 02, saw the detainee this morning during regular rounds. He made no requests of me and had no complaints. He indicated he was feeling fine and that [redacted] was in to see him Saturday evening. In addition, I received (3) additional letters addressed directly to the detainee this morning. They were postmarked 11, 12 and 13 June 02 respectively. The 11th and 13th letters were from the Clerk's Office, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia, 600 Granby Street Norfolk Va., while the letter dated the 12th was from the Clerk's Office, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia, Walter E. Hoffman U.S. Courthouse, 600 Granby Street, 1ST Floor, Norfolk Va. For [redacted] unless otherwise directed and as previously directed, I have not delivered this mail to the detainee, have placed the letters in the office safe, unsealed. To date, I am holding a total of (7) pieces of what appears to be legal correspondence, from the detainee. As follow-up to the Friday afternoon meeting with CINC/DCINC and the discussion concerning manning, attached is a copy of the CLF (N17) CMAT Functional Area Review conducted at my facility, justifying an increase of (12) personnel to support the "Other Detainee" mission. Per conversation with CNRMA Manpower shop [redacted] these additional requirements were entered into the Total Force Manpower Management System (TFMMS) this morning. We were informed that the AMD Change request should be approved in about two weeks and that the (12) billets are only "Approved Requirements" and that until such time CNRMA receives additional funding for the billets, they would not be authorized. Since DCINC gave me the impression he was going to take on the manning issues of both commands (Charleston and Norfolk Brigs) with CLF N1, I wanted to pass along (for DCINC's info) what has already been completed for my facility and where it stands, as was discussed Friday

-- Tuesday 18 June 02, saw the detainee this morning during regular rounds. He made two requests of me, which I indicated I would forward up the COC. He requested a deck of Pinuckle Cards and a Game Boy, pocket size

computer game. These two requests are discussed below. He indicated [] was not over yesterday evening but he expected him by tonight. In addition and as follow-up to the meeting and direction received from CINC/DCINC, Friday 14 June 02, I had [] of NAVSTA Norfolk's ET Shop over this afternoon to investigate the installation of a CCTV system, in my Alpha Block. Told him I was interested in a system to monitor all (12) cells, the entire block area, to include shower, watch supervisor station and recreation area. Also wanted the ability to monitor the cameras from my watch supervisor station and from my Central Control Center, with record capability. [] indicated he would have me the Low/Med/High range cost for such a system by COB Friday 21 June 02. [] Sir in order for me to complete the inspection of Alpha Block, to determine a cost for a CCTV system and to secure access to the detainee, I moved him into my #12 cell on the block, locked the other steel door and posted a guard force member at the steel door entrance. My cells #11 and #12 are solitary confinement facilities. The difference between these two cells and the other (10) on the block is, the other (10) have barred doors which open onto the block, where as #11 and #12 open into a vestibule which can be isolated from the block by closing and locking the other steel doors to these two cells. I briefed the detainee in advance of the inter-block move and he understood, without complaint. To meet the CINC's intent "no visitors without his approval and meet the requirement to complete the survey for CCTV installation cost, movement within the block to a solitary confinement cell, is the extent of my options. In addition, I will have to perform similar moves, once a system has been procured and ready for installation. With regard to the detainees request for a deck of cards and a game boy, I recommend approval for the following reasons: as reported he has been a model detainee since his arrival, unlike at Camp X-Ray this detainee has no other contact with his countrymen (as was and is still the case there) with nothing but time on his hands, I want the ability to use an incentive program (for good behavior which is standard practice throughout the Corrections Community) and most importantly, I have to the ability to exercise some discretion when I believe it best for the Health and Welfare of those assigned to my facility, while maintaining Good Order and Discipline. Know discussion has been to the extent possible we are to remain consistent with the procedures that were/are in place at Camp X-Ray, but we are not Camp X-Ray with 300+ other detainees who do have the ability to communicate with one another. I believe both of these requests would be considered under Authorized Recreation Books/Games and Games For One Person; No Pieces That Can Be Used As Weapons, section of the Detainee Issues (0900, 5 April) paper, provided in advance of receiving the detainee. I have asked in the past for similar requests (a soccer ball for the detainee to use when in the recreation area and a checker board) both were disapproved, as not being consistent with the Camp X-Ray procedures.

— Wednesday 19 June 02, spoke with the detainee this morning during regular rounds. Informed him that I had forwarded his requests (deck of pinuckle cards and game boy as reported in yesterday evening update) up the COC for consideration and that I should know something within the next couple of days. He indicated [] did not make it over last night, I told him I would call [] this afternoon to schedule a visit. Spoke with [] this evening and he is planning to stop over, later this evening. While talking to [] he informed me that he is making plans to start visits with the detainee in Charleston on a weekly basis. As you may recall this was discussed during last Fridays meeting with CINC/DCINC. He did not go into the particulars, like when he was going to start the visits, but did indicate cost for same was coming out of his commands OPTAR. Nothing else to report Sir. For [] Sir did we ever get a final determination on [] the delivery of the two pieces of mail for the detainee, cleared by and forwarded from JTF-160, early last week. As I recall per discussions Friday, there were still some questions on at least one piece of the mail.

— Thursday 20 June 02, saw the detainee today during rounds. He had no complaints or made any requests. He did indicate [] was in to see him yesterday evening as planned.

— Friday 21 June 02, the detainee was seen this morning by my CDO while covering rounds in my absence. The detainee made no requests or had any complaints to report. The assigned doctor was in this morning, saw the detainee and indicated the detainee is in excellent health. [] was over again yesterday evening to see the detainee. While here yesterday evening the [] indicated he was making arrangements to fly to Charleston next week (Tuesday as I recall) to commence weekly visits with the detainee there. As follow-up to the meeting last Friday, 14 June 02 with CINC/DCINC and the requirement to have a CCTV system installed in Alpha Block, a site visit by NAVSTA Norfolk ET Shop was conducted Tuesday 18 June 02, with cost estimates to follow. This afternoon I received a cost estimate for two different installations from one contractor. A brief and cost estimate ranging between 80K and 120K is attached. I will be receiving a brief from the contractor on Tuesday 25 June 02 at 1400. For [] I received a letter today from the detainee to his parents. This is only the second piece of mail he has sent (first was a post card to his family) since his arrival. Since the letter is in English and I have scanned the content, with nothing out of the ordinary found and since there is no requirement to forward to JTF-160 the detainees outgoing mail, I intend to place the letter in the outbound mail on Monday 24 June 02, unless otherwise directed.

V/R,